May 7, 2006

May 8, 2006

May 8

additional testing of the specimens which Dr. Frederick DiCarlo has under his control.

In response to Dr. Berkland's request, Dr. DiCarlo advised that a court order would be necessary to obtain the specimens requested. NJ Administrative Code says otherwise (NJ Administrative Code Title 13, Chapter 49, State Medical Examiner). The NJ Code says a court order may be requested, but is not required. Since my sister Carolyn and I have

sister, and I retained a Forensic Pathologist (Dr. Berkland) to complete some

It seems illogical, both morally and legally, to permit the alleged murderer to make decisions regarding the disposition of evidence that could bring charges against him---or completely exonerate him, which it seems Dr. DiCarlo is doing. What is the concern for Dr. DiCarlo, charges or exoneration?

demonstrated a proper interest, there is every reason for Dr. DiCarlo to

release the specimens of Joyce to Dr. Berkland. Every reason.

364

We ask that you intervene and encourage Dr. DiCarlo to release the specimens of Joyce Sauter to Dr. Berkland for further evaluation, without the necessity of a court order. We understand that you have the authority to make this happen.

We understand that the specimens must be safeguarded and available for at least 10 years in this type of case. We also understand that Dr. DiCarlo may choose to have them prematurely destroyed. If you could verify this possible discrepancy, that would be much appreciated.

In the past, your office has ignored and/or deferred action both our letters and our faxes. We hope this time we can count on your immediate attention and help. We look forward to hearing from you soon. Thank you in advance for your assistance.

Respectfully,

William H. Strouse

Carolyn Ausley

365